



Toronto Police Service 2016 Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report

Intelligence Services, Hate Crime Unit

Intelligence Services, Hate Crime Unit remains dedicated to the achievement of its complementary objectives: the prevention and thorough investigation of hate/bias motivated offences and the pro-active education of others to enable them to recognize and combat hate.

Our goal is to encourage mutual acceptance amongst communities and to safeguard the freedoms, safety and dignity of all persons as guaranteed by the Charter of Rights and Freedoms.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Executive Summary

The Toronto Police Service Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report is an annual report that provides statistical data about criminal offences that are committed against persons or property and are motivated by the victim's race, national or ethnic origin, language, colour, religion, sex, age, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation or other similar factor within the City of Toronto.

The report explains the mandate of the Toronto Police Service Hate Crime Unit (HCU) and the methodology that is used by the HCU to collect the statistical data. The data is based on hate/bias crimes that were reported to the Toronto Police Service, hereafter referred to as "the Service" between January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2016.

The report also provides an overview of the training and education that was provided to the Service's police officers with respect to hate/bias crimes in 2016, as well as the various community outreach initiatives that were undertaken by the HCU and other units within the Service.

In 2016, there was an increase in the total number of hate/bias crimes occurrences reported to the Service. In comparison to 2015, the number of reported occurrences increased from 134 to 145 representing a difference of approximately 8%. Over the past ten years, between 2007 and 2016, the average number of reported hate/bias crimes is approximately 141 per annum.

The number of arrests related to hate/bias crimes in 2016 decreased from 19 persons arrested in 2015 to 11 persons arrested in 2016. As in previous years, the number of arrests for hate/bias motivated offences was attributed to allegations of mischief to property (i.e. graffiti) in circumstances where there was little or no suspect description available. These occurrences frequently transpired without the victim or any witnesses present. These factors significantly added to the challenges in investigating hate/bias motivated offences and arresting suspects.

The three most targeted groups since 2006 have been the Jewish community, the Black community and the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) community. In 2016, the Jewish community, followed by the LGBTQ community, the Black community, and the Muslim community were the most victimized groups. The three most reported criminal offences motivated by hate/bias in 2016 were mischief to property, assault and criminal harassment. The Jewish community was the most victimized group for mischief to property occurrences; the LGBTQ community was the most victimized group for assault occurrences; and the Muslim community was the most victimized group for criminal harassment occurrences.

There are a number of factors that can affect fluctuation in the number of reported hate crimes and the community groups that are victimized. These factors include international events, community educational programs, hate crime training, and increased reporting.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



When more than one identifiable group (i.e. Catholic and Ukrainian) was targeted in an incident the occurrence was categorized as multi-bias. In 2015, 10 of the 134 hate/bias occurrences were categorized as multi-bias. In 2016, 14 of the 145 hate/bias occurrences were categorized as multi-bias.

In 2014, the Canadian Center for Justice Statistics (CCJS) published the Hate Crime Consultations and Recommendation Report. One of the recommendations made in this report was for police services to report hate/bias crimes targeting members of the Transgender community under either the sex and/or gender category for the purpose of comparability across jurisdictions. For the purpose of uniform crime reporting across Canada, the Service adopted the CCJS recommendation. Hate/bias crimes targeting members of the Transgender community have been categorized under the sex category since the 2014 report. Prior to 2014, the Toronto Police Service Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report categorized hate/bias crimes against members of the Transgender community under the sexual orientation category.

Since the publication of the first Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report in 1993, hate/bias crimes have been most commonly motivated by the following five factors: race, religion, sexual orientation, multi-bias, and nationality.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Table of Contents

I. Introduction 5

II. Methodology 7

 Limitations 7

 Community Impact 8

 Hate/Bias Category Codes 8

 Criminal Code of Canada - Hate Provisions 9

 Hate Groups 10

III. Results 11

 Reported Hate/Bias Crime Occurrences 11

 Motivation of Hate/Bias Crime Victimization 11

IV. Criminal Offences- Hate/Bias Motivated 13

 Mischief to Property 13

 Assault 13

 Criminal Harassment 13

 Internet 13

V. Patterns of Hate/Bias Motivated Offences 15

 Monthly Activity of Hate/Bias Occurrences 15

 Hate/Bias Occurrences by Division 15

 Community Victimization 16

 Breakdown of Victim Groups Targeted in Multi-Bias Occurrences 16

 Religion, Race and Sexual Orientation 18

VII. Accused / Suspect Identification 19

IX. Sentencing 22

 Historical Cases 2014-2015 22

 2016 Cases 22

X: Map - Reported Hate Crime Occurrences by Bias Type 23

XI. Toronto Population and Demographics 24

XII. Hate Crime Unit Education and Community Outreach Initiatives 26

 Intelligence Gathering and Investigative Support Role 26

 Training and Education 26

 Community Outreach 27

 Media Outreach 28

Appendix A – 2016 Completed Hate/Bias Court Dispositions 29

Appendix B - 2016 Offence Locations 31

Appendix C - 2016 Breakdown of Offences by Division 33

Appendix D – 2016 Breakdown by Victim Group and Offence 35



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



I. Introduction

The Toronto Police Service (the Service) Hate Crime Unit (HCU) is a sub-unit of Intelligence Services. The HCU was established in 1993 and is responsible for collecting, analyzing and publishing data on reported hate/bias crimes. The Unit consists of a Detective and two Detective Constables, as well as a civilian Intelligence Analyst and an Intelligence Researcher.

Additionally, the Detective Sergeant in charge of the Security Section of Intelligence Services has the discretion to deploy officers from Intelligence Services to assist in the investigation and prevention of hate/bias motivated crimes, thereby assisting the HCU, as he or she deems necessary. In making such a determination, the Detective Sergeant considers factors such as the opportunity to raise awareness and educate members of the community at large regarding hate/bias motivated crimes and the capacity of the specific division to investigate the specific occurrence(s).

Mandate:

The mandate of the Hate Crime Unit is to:

- Provide assistance and expertise to all investigations and prosecutions of hate/bias crimes;
- Investigate and liaise with the Ministry of the Attorney General in relation to hate propaganda cases;
- Maintain an information base of hate/bias occurrences and arrests to assist divisional analysts and investigators;
- Assist in developing public education programs in partnership with other members of the Service and the community; and
- Act as a central focus for the dissemination of information and provide support to divisional hate/bias crime co-ordinators, other police services, government agencies and the community.

Members of the HCU are responsible for reviewing all suspected hate/bias occurrences to ensure consistent identification/classification and to ensure a thorough investigation is conducted. All relevant information is recorded and analyzed which aids in determining overall hate/bias crime trends and patterns.

The analysis of occurrences and this report are then used to develop Service-wide strategies to address hate/bias crimes in the community, from a crime prevention/enforcement perspective and an educational perspective.

A Divisional Hate Crime Coordinator is assigned in each of the 17 police divisions and maintains the responsibility for tracking and assisting hate/bias crime investigations within their respective division. The HCU provides investigative support to these divisional personnel and



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



other units whenever requested or necessary to support the investigation and arranges for expert witnesses to attend court when required.

The Service provides all members with Hate/Bias Procedure 05-16 Hate/Bias Crime. This procedure provides direction to front line officers to assist them in properly identifying, recording and investigating hate/bias crimes. Consistent with this procedure, officers are required to notify the HCU of any occurrences. Through training, officers are encouraged to err on the side of caution by forwarding all suspected hate/bias motivated occurrences to the HCU for review. Additionally, the HCU utilizes internal police software in order to search all Service police records for hate/bias motivated occurrences. In this manner, the HCU ensures that all hate/bias motivated occurrences and arrests are captured and reviewed for accuracy.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



II. Methodology

Toronto Police Service Procedure 05-16 Hate/Bias Crime requires all suspected hate/bias motivated occurrences to be reviewed by HCU investigators to ensure proper identification and classification. Every occurrence is classified using the hate/bias categories contained within the hate/bias crime definition of the *Criminal Code of Canada*: race, national or ethnic origin, age, language, sex, disability, sexual orientation, religion, colour or any other similar factor.

Comments and/or actions of a suspect during an incident can be significant in determining the suspect's motive and bias; however, it is sometimes difficult to classify an occurrence with complete accuracy. Additional criteria used to assist in classifying occurrences include: the victim's perception of the incident, culturally significant dates, symbols, history of the community and current world events.

In some cases the suspect misperceives the victim's background. This may occur in some incidents involving visible minorities, where the suspect can be completely unaware of the victim's actual background and wrongly assumes that the victim belongs to a particular group. Due to this fact, the victim becomes a target based on the suspect's misperception.

For example, there have been cases where individuals have been wrongly perceived by the suspect as being members of the LGBTQ community and become victims of "gay bashing". Similarly, in other cases victims have been targeted due to their association with members of certain identifiable groups, though the victims themselves are not members of those groups.

While it is recognized that every individual has multiple aspects to their identity, more than one of which could be cause for an offender to target them, it is the practice of the HCU to classify a hate/bias occurrence based on the best known information that exists relevant to the offender's perception of the victim.

In cases where there are multiple criminal offences committed during one event, only charges directly related to the hate/bias occurrence are included for the purpose of data collection in this report. For example, if an occurrence involved an allegation of a suspect assaulting a person based on the person's religion and upon his arrest, the suspect was found to be in possession of a controlled substance – only the assault charge would be categorized as a hate/bias motivated charge, not the drug-related charge.

Limitations

The HCU recognizes that in evaluating this report the information contained herein is an analysis of reported hate/bias motivated crime within the City of Toronto and may not be a true reflection of the prevalence of hate/bias crimes occurring in Toronto.

Under-reporting continues to present a challenge that affects the HCU's ability to investigate and prevent hate/bias motivated offences in various communities. As a result, the HCU continues to recognize that working with community groups and media outlets to encourage and assist



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report 2016



victims to report these crimes is an essential aspect of its mandate. The HCU continues to meet and consult with a variety of established community organizations involved in anti-hate advocacy and is continuously reaching out to new groups.

The number of hate/bias crimes recorded varies from year to year and is influenced by a wide range of factors that are not always easily discernible. As previously mentioned, public reluctance to report victimization significantly impacts statistical data and the interpretations extrapolated and concluded from that data. Victims may be reluctant to report hate/bias crimes for several reasons, including but not limited to:

- The victim may not recognize that the crime was motivated by bias or hate;
- Fear of retaliation;
- Uncertainty of the criminal justice system's response;
- The victim may fear his/her sexual orientation may be exposed to family members or his/her employer; and/or
- Embarrassment and humiliation of being victimized.

Community Impact

Hate/bias crimes have a disproportionately greater effect on their victims than other types of crimes. Hate/bias motivated crimes have longer lasting serious side-effects for society as a whole. A hate/bias motivated crime not only victimizes the individual, but also the entire group that individual belongs to, resulting in the increased isolation, stress and vulnerability of that particular group. If police do not respond to reports of hate/bias crimes immediately and appropriately, these crimes can lead to increased social conflict between opposing groups and possible retaliation. Conversely, a timely and effective police response can have a positive and lasting influence on the relationship between police and various communities. Positive relationships such as these have the ability to have extensive benefits in other aspects of public safety.

Hate/Bias Category Codes

The hate/bias category codes used throughout the tables and charts of this report are as follows: RA-race/colour, MU-multi-bias, ET-ethnicity, NA-nationality, AG-age, SEX-sex, LN-language, DI-disability, SO-sexual orientation, RE-religion and SF-similar factor.

Offences in the **race (RA)** category include people targeted because of an obvious visible difference, often the colour of their skin, or other physical characteristics relating to race.

Occurrences where more than one of the identifiable groups has been targeted are categorized as **multi-bias (MU)**. This occurs when a suspect's comments and/or actions are directed towards several victim groups. For example, a hate propaganda flyer that targets Muslims, Canadians and First Nations members will be categorized as multi-bias.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Hate/bias motivated occurrences are coded as **ethnicity (ET)** to denote offences where the victims share a common cultural or national tradition or refer to victims by their birth origin rather than their present nationality.

The **nationality (NA)** category is used when a victim is targeted specifically because of his or her perceived nationality.

The **sex** category is used when a victim is targeted because of the gender that they are perceived to belong to (male, female, Trans or Transgender). **Trans** or **Transgender** is an umbrella term referring to people with diverse gender identities and expressions that differ from stereotypical gender norms. It includes but is not limited to people who identify as Transgender, Trans woman (male-to-female), Trans man (female-to-male), Transsexual, cross-dresser, gender non-conforming, gender variant or gender queer.

The categories of **age (AG)**, **language (LN)**, **disability (DI)**, **sexual orientation (SO)** and **religion (RE)** are typically clear in terms of why the victims have been targeted and therefore are often more easily categorized.

In **similar factor (SF)** occurrences, hatred can focus on members of a group who have significant points in common and share a trait that is often integral to the dignity of the person. The shared trait is common to a group not just an individual. Examples of any other similar factors include but are not limited to members of a particular profession (i.e. priests), ancestry and citizenship.

Criminal Code of Canada - Hate Provisions

The definition of a hate/bias crime is, “A *criminal offence committed against a person or property, where there is evidence that the offence was motivated by bias, prejudice or hate, based on the victim’s race, national or ethnic origin, language, colour, religion, sex, age, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation, or any other similar factor*”.

The two types of hate/bias motivated crimes:

1. Those forms of expression that fit within the parameters of **hate propaganda** in sections 318 and 319 of the Criminal Code; and
2. All other criminal offences where there is evidence to indicate bias, prejudice or hate was a motivating factor in the commission of the offences, section 718 of the Criminal Code.

Hate propaganda is defined as, “*Any communication that advocates or promotes genocide or makes statements, other than in private, that promote hatred against an identifiable group*”.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



An identifiable group is defined by the Criminal Code as, “*Any section of the public distinguished by colour, race, religion, national or ethnic origin, age, sex, sexual orientation, or mental or physical disability*”.

The criteria of national origin, sex, age, and mental or physical disability were added to the definition of “identifiable group” in 2014 as a result of Bill C-13.

The consent of the Ontario Attorney General is required for hate propaganda prosecutions.

In 2001, under the *Anti-Terrorism Act*, a new provision was added to the Criminal Code of Canada, Section 430(4.1), which allows the courts to impose more severe penalties for the criminal offence of mischief to religious property. Section 430(4.1) of the Criminal Code states:

“Everyone who commits mischief in relation to property that is a building, structure or part thereof that is primarily used for religious worship, including a church, mosque, synagogue or temple, or an object associated with religious worship located in or on the grounds of such a building or structure, or a cemetery, if the commission of the mischief is motivated by bias, prejudice or hate based on religion, race, colour or national or ethnic origin,

- (a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years; or*
- (b) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding eighteen months.”*

Hate Groups

While organized hate groups continue to exist in the City of Toronto, the groups are commonly loose affiliations or informal gatherings of like-minded individuals. Social media, blogs, forums, web sites and other forms of internet-based contact remain popular as modes for recruitment, expression, information and communication. Analysis of those cases where offenders had been identified revealed that, in the majority of cases, offenders had no known association to any commonly known hate groups.




III. Results

Reported Hate/Bias Crime Occurrences

In 2016, there was an increase in the number of reported hate crimes compared to 2015. The HCU recorded a total of 145 hate/bias motivated occurrences in 2016 compared to 134 in 2015. These figures represent an increase of approximately 8%. Overall, the number of occurrences in 2016 is higher than the ten year average of 141 occurrences (Figure 3.1).

Figure 3.1: Hate/Bias Crime Occurrences 2007-2016



Note: This figure is based on statistical data collected over the past ten years. The highest reported number of occurrences was 174 (2009) and the lowest reported number of occurrences was 123 (2011).

Motivation of Hate/Bias Crime Victimization


Religion, sexual orientation and race have been the predominant motivation factors for hate/bias crimes over the past ten years. In 2016, these factors were present in the following approximate proportions: religion 46%, followed by race 18%, and sexual orientation 12% (Table 3.1 refers).



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Figure 3.2: Breakdown by Hate/Bias Category 2016



Note: Figure 3.2 is based on the total number of hate/bias crimes reported in 2016 (Figure 3.1). Age, Disability, Similar Factor and Language compose 0% of the hate/bias occurrences in 2016.

Table 3.1: Total Hate/Bias Crimes 2007-2016

Year	Age	Disability	Ethnic Origin	Sex	Language	Multi-Bias	Nationality	Race	Religion	Similar Factor	Sexual Orientation	TOTAL
2007			4		1	14	11	44	38	2	17	131
2008						17	19	27	56		34	153
2009			13			22	19	28	59	7	26	174
2010			3			17	9	31	53		19	132
2011			3			18	12	20	47		23	123
2012			5			21	11	26	59	1	19	142
2013			12			10	2	25	58	2	21	130
2014			1	3		14	19	22	63		24	147
2015			6	2		10	6	25	58		27	134
2016			7	6		14	8	26	66		18	145

Note: Figures highlighted in grey represent the three most targeted categories within the year specified.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



IV. Criminal Offences- Hate/Bias Motivated

In 2016, the most prevalent hate/bias occurrences were for the offences of mischief to property, assault and criminal harassment. Assault and criminal harassment occurrences were all occurrences that were unprovoked by the victims. As in past years, these kinds of offences occurred in a variety of different locations such as dwellings, public park/streets, schools and public transportation (refer to Appendix B for detailed classification of 2016 offence locations).

Mischief to Property

Mischief to property represented a significant portion of the offences, accounting for 74 of the 145 occurrences. In comparison to 2015, there was an increase in the number of mischief to property occurrences motivated by hate/bias. In 2015, 65 of the 134 occurrences were mischief to property motivated by hate/bias. The total proportion of mischief to property occurrences to all reported occurrences increased from approximately 49% in 2015 to approximately 51% in 2016.

Vandalism and graffiti were the two primary forms of mischief perpetrated by the offender. The most common offence locations were schools, dwellings, street, business/retail buildings and public transportation (Appendix B). The hate/bias categories most targeted were religion, race and sexual orientation (Table 4 refers).

The Jewish community and the LGBTQ community were the predominant victim groups for mischief occurrences in 2016.

Assault

There were a total of 36 assault occurrences in 2016 compared to 29 in 2015. The total proportion of assault occurrences to all reported occurrences increased from 22% in 2015 to 25% in 2016. The hate/bias categories that were targeted the most were the sexual orientation, race, and religion (refer to Appendix D for summary statistics of victim groups and offences). The LGBTQ community was the predominant victim group for assaults in 2016.

Criminal Harassment

There were a total of 15 criminal harassment occurrences motivated by hate/bias in 2016 compared to 19 in 2015. Religion and race were the highest reported motivation factors for this offence (Appendix D).

Internet

The internet and its various modes of communication remain a popular method for communicating hate propaganda, threats and criminal harassment, most likely due to the perpetrator's perceived ability to remain anonymous.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



In 2016, six hate/bias occurrences were committed via the internet, representing approximately 4% of the total hate/bias motivated occurrences. Based on the continuously increasing prevalence of the internet as a medium of communication, the HCU continues to closely monitor open forum websites, chat rooms, message boards, etc. and initiate investigations as required. When possible criminality is identified, the HCU draws on other Service resources, including support from the Computer Cyber Crime and the Technological Crime Sections of Intelligence Services.




V. Patterns of Hate/Bias Motivated Offences

Monthly Activity of Hate/Bias Occurrences

In 2016, the months with the highest number of hate/bias motivated occurrences were October (21) and June (17). The months with the lowest activity were September (5), and February (8), (Figure 5.1).

Figure 5.1: Monthly Hate/Bias Occurrences Comparative Analysis 2014-2016



Hate/Bias Occurrences by Division

The police divisions with the highest numbers of hate/bias occurrences were 52 Division, 31 Division and 32 Division reporting 22, 20 and 14 occurrences, respectively in 2016 (Table 5.2).

In 2016, 31 Division, 52 Division and 51 Division all experienced an increase in the number of hate/bias occurrences compared to 2015. In contrast, there was a decrease in 55 Division and 54 Division in the number of hate/bias occurrences reported in 2016 compared to 2015 (refer to Appendix C for 2016 divisional summary of offences by division).

Table 5.2: Hate/Bias Motivated Crimes by Division 2015-2016

Year	D11	D12	D13	D14	D22	D23	D31	D32	D33	D41	D42	D43	D51	D52	D53	D54	D55	Total
2015	13	1	2	12	9	4	9	19	5	5	1	9	7	11	2	12	13	134
2016	10	2	1	10	10	3	20	14	5	9	6	3	13	22	6	5	6	145



VI. Commonly Victimized Groups

Community Victimization

Victim groups are categorized by the suspect’s perception. The victim group most targeted in 2016 was the Jewish community with 43 occurrences. The LGBTQ community was the next most targeted victim group reporting 24 occurrences followed by the Black and the Muslim communities reporting 22 occurrences each (Figure 6.1 and Table 6.1).

Figure 6.1: Hate/Bias Occurrences by Victimized Groups 2016




Table 6.1: Hate/Bias Occurrences by Victimized Group

Group	Occurrences
Jewish	43
Black	22
Muslim	22
LGBTQ	24
Multi-Bias	14
Pakistani	3
Brown Skinned	2
East Indian	2
Middle Eastern	2
White	2
Arab	1
Asian	1
Chinese	1
Czech	1
German	1
Roma	1
Sikh	1
Syrian	1
Turkish	1

Note:

Victim groups with more than three occurrences are represented in Figure 6.1.

Breakdown of Victim Groups Targeted in Multi-Bias Occurrences

When more than one identifiable group is targeted, the occurrence is categorized as multi-bias. In 2016 there were 14 hate/bias occurrences classified as multi-bias, as opposed to 10 in 2015.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Table 6.2: Victim Groups Targeted in Multi-Bias Occurrences

Victim Group	Number of Occurrences
Black/Jewish	3
Black/Jewish/LGBTQ	2
Asian/LGBTQ	1
Black/Hispanic	1
Black/Indian/Mexican/Russia	1
Black/LGBTQ	1
Black/LGBTQ/Mexican	1
Hindu/Jewish	1
Indian/Jewish	1
Japanese/Jewish	1
Muslim/Palestine	1
Total	14

Of the 14 hate/bias occurrences that were categorized as multi-bias in 2016, the Black community was targeted in 9 of the 14 occurrences (Tables 6.2 and 6.3) and members of the Jewish community were targeted in 8 of the 14 multi-bias occurrences. The LGBTQ community was targeted in 5 of the 14 multi-bias occurrences and the Muslim community was targeted in 1 of the 14 occurrences. Notably, since 2005, religion, race and sexual orientation have been the three most common motivation factors for hate/bias crime victimization (Table 6.3).

Table 6.3: Total Hate/Bias Occurrences Including Multi-Bias Occurrences

Community Group	Bias Type	Victimized Group	Number of Occurrences	Total Occurrences
Jewish	Religion Multi-Bias	Jewish	43	51
		Black/Jewish	3	
		Black/Jewish/LGBTQ	2	
		Hindu/Jewish	1	
		Indian/Jewish	1	
		Japanese/Jewish	1	
Black	Race Multi-Bias	Black	22	31
		Black/Jewish	3	
		Black/Jewish/LGBTQ	2	
		Black/Hispanic	1	
		Black/Indian/Mexican/Russia	1	
		Black/LGBTQ	1	
LGBTQ	Sex & Sexual Orientation	LGBTQ	18	29
		Transgendered (Male)	2	
		Transgendered (Female)	4	
		Black/Jewish/LGBTQ	2	
		Asian/LGBTQ	1	
		Black/LGBTQ	1	
Muslim	Religion Multi-Bias	Muslim	22	23
		Muslim/Palestine	1	



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report 2016



Religion, Race and Sexual Orientation

The highest percentage of the 145 reported hate/bias criminal occurrences in 2016 were motivated by religion (46%=66), followed by race (18%=26) and sexual orientation (12%=18).

The two most victimized religious groups in 2016 were members of the Jewish and the Muslim/Islamic communities. In 43 of the 66 hate/bias occurrences involving religion, victims were members of the Jewish community. In 22 of the 66 occurrences, victims were members of the Muslim/Islamic community while 42 of the 74 occurrences involving religion were mischief to property occurrences (Appendix D).

In the 26 hate/bias occurrences in 2016 where race was the motivating factor the Black community was the most frequently victimized group, accounting for 22 of the 26 occurrences. These occurrences were mainly mischief under (11); assault (5); mischief interfere with property (1); assault with a weapon (2); criminal harassment (2); and theft under (1) (Appendix D).

In 2016, the LGBTQ community was victimized in 24 of the 145 hate/bias occurrences. Sexual orientation and sex were the two motivating factors. These occurrences were predominantly the following offences: assault (6); assault with a weapon (3); assault causing bodily harm (2); mischief under (4); criminal harassment (3); break and enter (2); threaten death and/or threaten bodily harm (1); wilful promotion of hatred (1); theft under (1); and fail to comply (1) (Appendix D).

The number of assault occurrences motivated by sexual orientation and sex in 2016 increased from nine in 2015 to eleven in 2016. In 2016, the eleven assault occurrences within the LGBTQ community involved a total of twelve victims ranging in age from 15 to 49 years. In all of the assault occurrences, the victims were subject to derogatory comments and were either punched, or pushed by the suspect(s) during the assault.

In comparison to 2015 (22%), the total percentage of hate/bias occurrences in the LGBTQ community decreased in 2016 (17%). Hate/bias motivated assault occurrences in the LGBTQ community represented 7% of the total occurrences in 2015 compared to 8% in 2016.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Table 6.4 2016 Offence Breakdown by Category

Criminal Offence	Ethnic Origin	Multi-Bias	Nationality	Race	Religion	Sex	Sexual Orientation	Total
Assault	4		4	7	7	3	3	28
Assault Causing Bodily Harm							2	2
Assault with a Weapon				2	1	2	1	6
Break and Enter							2	2
Criminal Harassment		3	2	2	5		3	15
Fail to Comply							1	1
Mischief Interfere With Property		1		1	5			7
Mischief Under	3	9	1	13	37		4	67
Possession of a Weapon			1					1
Theft Under				1			1	2
Threatening Bodily Harm					3	1		4
Threatening Death					6			6
Wilful Promotion of Hatred		1			2		1	4
Total	7	14	8	26	66	6	18	145

VII. Accused / Suspect Identification

Accused/suspect information is typically provided by victims, witnesses, audio/video security cameras and forensic evidence. The Service’s SCI-Forensic Identification Unit plays a significant role in collecting physical evidence such as DNA and fingerprints at crime scenes. Service Procedure 05-16 Hate/Bias Crime requires all police officers investigating a hate/bias crime to protect the scene and secure all relevant evidence including items such as posters, graffiti, recordings and clothing for forensic examination. Furthermore, officers are required to photograph the scene where the graffiti is found or when evidence cannot be readily detached or retrieved.

In 2016, victims and witnesses were able to provide information on accused/suspect identification in 71 of the 145 total hate/bias occurrences, accounting for 49% of the occurrences. It is often very difficult to identify suspects, as many hate/bias crimes occur without any witnesses present. Moreover, many hate/bias crimes occur without the victim present, as in the case of hate motivated graffiti or mischief. For example, in 2016, victims and/or witnesses were able to provide suspect/accused information on approximately 11% of the total mischief to property occurrences, accounting for 8 of the 74 total occurrences.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Among suspects and charged persons, males form the dominant offender group with 84 male accused/suspects identified in 2016 compared to 8 females. Among identified persons committing hate/bias offences, the largest group consisted of males in the 18-25 year age group and the 26-40 year age group (Tables 7.1 and 7.2).

Since 2003, the dominant offender group has been males, specifically in the 18-25 year age group with the exception of 2008 and 2015 when the dominant offender groups were in the 9-17 year age group and over 40 years respectively.

Table 7.1 2016 Accused Identification Specific to Age and Gender

Gender	Age Group			
	9-17 years	18-25 years	26-40 years	Over 40 Years
Female	-	-	-	1
Male		4	5	2

Note: The table above is based on the sex and age group of those charged with hate/bias criminal offences in 2016. There were a total of 10 criminal occurrences.

Table 7.2 Suspect Identification Based on 2016 Victim/Witness Statements

Gender	9-17 years	18-25 years	26-40 years	Over 40 Years
Female	-	3	2	2
Male	7	36	23	14

Note: The figures represented in the suspect identification table are based on victim/witness suspect descriptions. The above table does not include the 10 additional occurrences in which the victim/witnesses identified male suspects but were unable to determine their age.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



VIII. 2016 Arrests/Charges

As in previous years, offenders are at times charged with a number of offences, not all of which are hate/bias motivated. Only those charges relating directly to hate/bias motivated criminal offences are counted in Table 8.1.

The number of hate/bias motivated arrests was lower in 2016 compared to 2015. In 2016, there were 12 persons arrested for hate/bias motivated offences compared to 19 persons arrested in 2015. A total of 22 hate/bias motivated criminal charges were laid against these 12 persons in 2016 compared to 21 hate/bias motivated charges being laid in 2015. The 2016 charges were in relation to 10 hate/bias occurrences (Table 8.1). In one of these occurrences, more than one person was arrested and charged. In many occurrences, persons were charged with more than one offence.

Table 8.1 2016 Hate/Bias Charges Laid by Offence Type

Offence Type	Charges
Cause Disturbance	1
Assault Causing Bodily Harm	2
Assault	4
Assault with a Weapon	1
Carry Concealed Weapon	1
Fail to comply with Probation order	3
Mischief Under \$5000	1
Possession of a weapon	1
Public incitement of Hatred	1
Unauthorized Possession of a prohibited weapon	1
Utter Threats	4
Wilful Promotion of Hatred	1
Advocate Genocide	1
Total	22



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



IX. Sentencing

The following sentencing dispositions include all hate/bias criminal proceedings which concluded in the judicial system during 2016. The occurrences that led to these criminal proceedings transpired between 2014 and 2016.

Dispositions included custodial sentences, significant time spent in pre-trial custody, suspended sentences, mental health diversion and periods of probation with conditions including counseling, peace bonds and weapons prohibitions.

Historical Cases 2009 and 2014-2015

There was one hate/bias motivated criminal case before the courts arising from an incident that occurred in 2009. The 2009 incident involved a total of three hate/bias criminal charges. The case was completed by way of a guilty plea.

In 2016, there were 11 hate/bias criminal cases involving 12 accused persons that concluded before the courts that originated from incidents that transpired between 2014 and 2015. These 11 cases were completed as follows: five guilty pleas, one withdrawal, four were resolved by peace bond, and one was resolved by peace bond and diverted to mental health court (Appendix A - Table A.2). There are currently three cases involving hate/bias motivated charges pending before the courts arising from occurrences that transpired in 2015.


2016 Cases

In 2016, there were 10 hate/bias criminal cases before the courts that originated from incidents that transpired in 2016. There were a total of 12 accused persons and 22 hate/bias charges laid. Two of these 10 cases have already been completed and were resolved by peace bond (Appendix A - Table A.1).

At year-end 2016, there are currently eight cases involving hate/bias motivated charges pending before the courts.



Toronto Police Service 2016 Reported Hate Crime By Bias Type



Prepared by: Toronto Police Service
Intelligence Services
Source: General Occurrence Data
2017.01.27





XI. Toronto Population and Demographics

Toronto, with a population of 2.79 million people (5.5 million in the GTA-Greater Toronto Area), is heralded as one of the most multicultural cities in the world and is ranked as the safest large metropolitan area in North America by Places Rated Almanac.¹

The 2011 Census conducted by Statistics Canada provides the most current information regarding the population and religious demographic information for the City of Toronto (Figure 11.1 and 11.2). These charts are provided to give some context to the statistics in this report.

Figure 11.1: Visible Minority Population in Toronto
(Source: Statistics Canada, 2011)





Figure 11.2 Religious Affiliations in Toronto
(Source: Statistics Canada, 2011)



In comparison to the 2006 Census there has been a decrease in the number of individuals who are identifying as “not a visible minority” (53.1% versus 50.9%).² Since 2006, increases in visible minority populations were observed within the Arab, South Asian, Southeast Asian and Latin American communities (Figure 11.1).

As noted earlier in the report, the most targeted groups in Toronto in 2016 were the Jewish community, followed by the LGBTQ community, the Muslim community and the Black community.

¹ City of Toronto. (1998-2015). “Diversity Toronto Facts – Your City”, (Viewed on February 2, 2015 from <http://www1.toronto.ca/wps/portal/contentonly?vgnextoid=dbe867b42d853410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD&vgnextchannel=57a12cc817453410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD>)

² Toronto Police Service. (2013). *2012 Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report*. Hate Crime Unit, Intelligence Services.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



According to the 2011 Census published by Statistics Canada:

The Jewish community makes up 3.8% of the religious population in the City of Toronto but was victimized in approximately 30% of the total hate/bias crimes in 2016; and

The Black community and the Muslim community constitute 8.5% and 8.2% of the visible minority population respectively but were victimized in approximately 15% of the total hate/bias crimes in 2016.

According to the 2009 General Social Survey on Victimization (GSS) conducted by Statistics Canada, 2% of Canadians aged 18 to 59 reported that they consider themselves to be homosexual or bisexual.³ It is of significance to note the question on the GSS was voluntary and not inclusive of Transgendered individuals; therefore, this rate is likely underreported and not an accurate depiction of the population of the LGBTQ community in Canada. Despite the lack of accurate statistics in this area, what is known is that the LGBTQ community was victimized in approximately 17% of the total hate/bias crimes in 2016.

³ Statistics Canada. (2010). *Gay Pride...by the Numbers*. (Retrieved on January 8th, 2014, from http://www42.statcan.gc.ca/smr08/2011/smr08_158_2011-eng.htm).



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



XII. Hate Crime Unit Education and Community Outreach Initiatives

Intelligence Gathering and Investigative Support Role

The HCU exchanges information through its networks with the Toronto Police Service, provincial, national and international police services and other law enforcement agencies.

In 2016:

The HCU continued to be an active partner of the provincial Hate Crime Extremism Investigative Team (HCEIT). The HCEIT consists of members from fifteen Ontario police services that receive provincial funding for the joint collection and sharing of information, enforcement and education on hate/bias crimes. In 2016, representatives included members from the following police services:

- Brantford Police Service
- Durham Regional Police Service
- Guelph Police Service
- Halton Regional Police Service
- Hamilton Police Service
- London Police Service
- Niagara Regional Police Service
- Ontario Provincial Police Service
- Ottawa Police Service
- Peel Regional Police
- Stratford Police Service
- Toronto Police Service
- Waterloo Regional Police Service
- Woodstock Police Service and
- York Regional Police

In order to ensure public safety and/or assess the presence of criminality, the HCU attended and monitored events involving potential hate/bias activity as well as public demonstrations with political or ideological overtones where the involved groups were strongly opposed to one another.

The HCU provided police divisions with ongoing investigative support, case tracking and relevant intelligence exchange.

Training and Education

Throughout 2016, HCU members attended provincial hate crime and extremism training relating to hate/bias crime laws and trends, investigative strategies and the prosecution of hate crimes



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report 2016



with police services, community agencies and partners from across North America in Ottawa, Toronto and Niagara Falls.

In November 2016, the HCU hosted the annual divisional Hate Crime Coordinators (HCC) meeting with HCC's from the Service's 17 police divisions. The purpose of the meeting was to review and discuss divisional hate/bias criminal cases, current case law and other issues relating to hate/bias crimes.

In October 2015, the HCU assisted in creating materials that included an information booklet and training video for the Service's front-line officers. The information booklet and video provides tools, resources and procedures for hate/bias crimes and criminal extremism. These resources continue to be made available and utilized in 2016.

In 2012, the Ontario Police College (OPC) in partnership with HCEIT created an Advanced Hate Crime Investigators Course for police officers. The course focuses on an enhanced understanding of the investigation of hate/bias crimes and the application of federal legislation to hate propaganda. The course was offered at OPC in April 2016. The course was attended by members from Ontario police services, including divisional hate crime investigators.

In addition, throughout 2016 the HCU liaised with members of the community including schools, government, and community organizations on the subject of hate/bias crimes.

Community Outreach

In 2016, the HCU consulted with many diverse community organizations and representatives for the purposes of education, negotiation, mediation for public order and safety and to address community concerns specific to hate/bias crimes.

In 2016, the HCU collaborated with the Divisional Policing Support Unit, Muslim Liaison Officer regarding outreach within the Muslim Community. In 2016, the Muslim liaison officer attended and participated in local meetings on the subject of hate/bias crimes and discrimination with members of local government and social agencies, community leaders and police officers.

The HCU continued its partnership with the Divisional Policing Support Unit and the LGBTQ Liaison Unit to provide local and international education on LGBTQ awareness including support of the Report Homophobic Violence Period Program and the Trans Media Campaign.

The "Report Homophobic Violence, Period" (RHVP) campaign is a public awareness and education campaign which focuses on youth 13 to 25 years of age and addresses the issue of homophobic and transphobic bullying and violence.

RHVP is an initiative of the Service's LGBTQ Community Consultative Committee and was developed in 2007 by the Service in partnership with a large number of community partners and community service providers.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report 2016



The Trans Media Campaign was initiated in 2014 by the LGBTQ Liaison Unit in partnership with Corporate Communications to increase reporting, decrease attacks against the Trans community, educate Service members about the Trans community and improve the relationship between the Service and the Trans community. In 2015, Crime Stoppers partnered with Trans Media Campaign members to address hate/bias crimes against the Trans community. The Trans Media Campaign formally launched via social media, printed materials, community newspapers and the Service's intranet on June 2016.

Media Outreach

HCU members continue to provide interviews to local and national media on a variety of hate/bias crime issues upon request.

The HCU is committed to the prevention and investigation of hate/bias motivated crimes and to the education of our police and community partners. Open consultation with the community in a mutually supportive manner is recognized as the most effective way of achieving these goals.



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Appendix A – Completed Hate/Bias Court Dispositions

The dispositions set out in Table A.1 and Table A.2 below include all hate/bias criminal proceedings which concluded in the judicial system in 2016. The occurrences that led to these criminal proceedings transpired in 2009 and, between 2014 and 2016.

Persons are at times charged with a number of offences, not all of which are hate/bias motivated. Some of the cases below include non-hate motivated charges such as failure to comply probation; however, all charges (hate/bias and non-hate/bias) have been listed in Table A and B to accurately reflect sentencing dispositions.

Table A.1: 2016 Completed Cases (2016 occurrences)

Charge	Disposition
2016	
1. Assault	1. Withdrawn – peace bond 12 months, \$500 no surety/deposit
2. Uttering Threats	2. Withdrawn – peace bond 12 months, \$500 no surety/deposit
1. Assault	1. Withdrawn – peace bond 12 months, \$500 no surety/deposit

Table A.2 2016 Completed Cases (2015 - 2014 and 2009 Occurrences)

Charge	Disposition
2015	
1. Assault	1. Withdrawn
2. Assault With A Weapon	2. Withdrawn
3. Possession Of A Weapon	3. Withdrawn
4. Uttering Threats	4. Withdrawn
1. Uttering Threats	1. Withdrawn – peace bond 6 months, \$500 no surety/deposit
1. Assault	1. Guilty plea - 30 days intermittent sentence, 13 days pretrial custody, one year probation, \$100 victim fine surcharge
1. Assault Causing Bodily Harm	1. Guilty plea - 90 days jail, two years probation and restitution paid
2. Assault With A Weapon	2. Withdrawn
1. Mischief Under	1. Withdrawn – common law peace bond, 12 months probation, \$500 no surety/deposit, no weapons, continue to work with mental health court support workers



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



2. Uttering Threats	2. Withdrawn – common law peace bond, 12 months probation, \$500 no surety/deposit, no weapons, continue to work with mental health court support workers
1. Assault Causing Bodily Harm	1. Guilty plea - 90 days jail, two years probation and restitution
2. Assault With A Weapon	2. Withdrawn
1. Assault A Peace Officer Causing Bodily Harm	1. Found guilty – three year probation, 45 days jail, three months pre-sentence custody and DNA order
2. Assault	2. Withdrawn
3. Breach Of Recognizance	3. Found guilty – three years probation concurrent sentence, one day jail and six months pre-sentence custody
4. Uttering Threats	4. Found guilty – three years probation, concurrent sentence, 45 days jail and DNA order
5. Uttering Threats	5. Withdrawn
1. Robbery	1. Withdrawn - extra judicial sanctions
2. Robbery	2. Withdrawn
3. Robbery	3. Withdrawn - peace bond
1. Assault Causing Bodily Harm	1. Guilty plea - 101 days pre-sentence custody, 49 days jail and 18 months probation
2. Fail To Comply With Probation Order	2. Withdrawn
3. Uttering Threats	3. Withdrawn
4. Uttering Threats	4. One day jail concurrent, 18 months probation concurrent
2014	
1. Assault	1. Withdrawn – peace bond, \$500 no surety/deposit
2. Assault With A Weapon	2. Withdrawn – peace bond 12 months, \$500 no surety/deposit
3. Carrying Concealed Weapon	3. Withdrawn – peace bond
4. Possession Of A Weapon	4. Withdrawn – peace bond
1. Assault Causing Bodily Harm	1. Withdrawn - peace bond 12 months, \$500
1. Uttering Threats	1. Withdrawn - peace bond



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Charge	Disposition
2009	
1. Assault 2. Assault 3. Assault	1. Withdrawn 2. Guilty plea – Suspended sentence, two days pre-sentence custody & 18 months probation 3. Guilty plea – Suspended sentence & 18 months probation



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Appendix B - 2016 Offence Locations

Premise Type	Assault	Assault Causing Bodily Harm	Assault with a Weapon	Break and Enter	Criminal Harassment	Fail to Comply Probation Order	Mischief Interfere With Property	Mischief Under	Possession of a Weapon	Theft Under	Threatening Bodily Harm	Threatening Death	Willful Promotion of Hatred	TOTAL
Apartment building parking lot; stairwell; elevator; lobby; home phone; hallway; door (total)			1		5	1		14	1		1			23
Business/Retail Various types (total)	5	1			1			6		1	1			15
Community Centre Cultural Organizations (total)											1			1
Government (total)								1						1
House garage; home phone; vehicle (total)				2	1		1	6		1		1	1	13
Internet/Mail website; social media; email; cellphone; text message (total)					1							2	1	4
Medical Facility hospital; doctor's office (total)														0
Parks (total)								3						3
Public Transit subway station; subway; train; street car; Via Rail; GO (total)	5		1		1		1	4				1		13
Religious Facilities church; synagogue; temple (total)														0
Schools public; high; middle; private academy; college; Montessori; university; day care (total)	1				1		5	23						30
Street laneway; vehicle (total)	17	1	4		5			10			1	2	2	42
Totals	28	2	6	2	15	1	7	67	1	2	4	6	4	145



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Appendix C - 2016 Breakdown of Offences by Division

11 Division	10 Occurrences
Black - Jewish	Criminal Harassment
Black - Hispanic	Mischief Under
Black – Jewish - LGBTQ	Wilful Promotion of Hatred
Roma	Possession of a Weapon
Black	Assault
Brown	Assault
Black	Mischief Interfere with Property
Black	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
LGBTQ - Male	Mischief Under
12 Division	2 Occurrences
Arab	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Under
13 Division	1 Occurrence
LGBTQ	Mischief Under
14 Division	10 Occurrences
Turkish	Criminal Harassment
Pakistani	Mischief Under
Black	Assault with a Weapon
Black	Mischief Under
Black	Theft Under
Muslim	Criminal Harassment
Muslim	Criminal Harassment
Muslim	Mischief Under
Trans - Male	Assault with a Weapon
Trans - Female	Threatening Bodily Harm
22 Division	10 Occurrences
Syria	Criminal Harassment
Black	Criminal Harassment
Black	Mischief Under
Black	Mischief Under
Black	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Threatening Death
LGBTQ - Female	Assault

23 Division	3 Occurrences
Muslim	Mischief Interfere with Property
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
31 Division	20 Occurrences
LGBTQ - Black - Mexican	Mischief Under
Jewish - Japanese	Mischief Under
Muslim - Palestine	Mischief Under
Black	Criminal Harassment
Black	Mischief Under
White	Mischief Under
Muslim	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Interfere with Property
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Mischief Under
Muslim	Threatening Bodily Harm
Trans - Female	Assault
LGBTQ - Male	Criminal Harassment
32 Division	14 Occurrences
Black - Russia - Indian - Mexican	Mischief Under
Black	Assault
Black	Mischief Under
Jewish	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Threatening Bodily Harm
Jewish	Threatening Death
Jewish	Wilful Promotion of Hatred
LGBTQ - Female	Break & Enter



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



33 Division	5 Occurrences
Black - Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Threatening Death
LGBTQ - Male	Assault Causing Bodily Harm

41 Division	9 Occurrences
Czech	Assault
Pakistani	Assault
Black	Mischief Under
White	Mischief Under
Muslim	Criminal Harassment
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Threatening Death
Muslim	Threatening Bodily Harm

42 Division	6 Occurrences
Black	Mischief Under
Jewish - Hindu	Criminal Harassment
Jewish	Mischief Under
Trans - Male	Assault
Trans - Male	Weapons Dangerous
LGBTQ - Female	Break & Enter

43 Division	3 Occurrences
Jewish - Indian	Mischief Under
Black	Assault with a Weapon
LGBTQ - Male	Assault

51 Division	13 Occurrences
Chinese	Assault
Black	Mischief Under
Middle Eastern	Assault
Middle Eastern	Mischief Under
LGBTQ - Black - Jewish	Mischief Interfere with Property
Muslim	Assault
Muslim	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Threatening Death
Trans - Female	Assault
LGBTQ - Male	Assault
LGBTQ	Wilful Promotion of Hatred

52 Division	22 Occurrences
Asian	Assault
Pakistani	Assault
East Indian	Mischief Under
East Indian	Mischief Under
LGBTQ - Asian	Mischief Under
Black	Assault
Black	Assault
Sikh	Assault
Jewish	Assault with a Weapon
Muslim	Criminal Harassment
Jewish	Criminal Harassment
Jewish	Mischief Interfere with Property
Jewish	Mischief Interfere with Property
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Jewish	Mischief Under
Muslim	Mischief Under
Muslim	Threatening Death
Muslim	Wilful Promotion of Hatred
LGBTQ - Male	Assault Causing Bodily Harm
LGBTQ - Male	Criminal Harassment

53 Division	6 Occurrences
Black - Jewish	Mischief Under
Black	Mischief Under
Muslim	Mischief Interfere with Property
Jewish	Mischief Under
LGBTQ - Male	Assault with a Weapon
LGBTQ - Male	Criminal Harassment

54 Division	5 Occurrences
Brown	Assault
LGBTQ - Black	Criminal Harassment
Muslim	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Under
LGBTQ - Female	Mischief Under

55 Division	6 Occurrences
German	Assault
Black	Assault
Jewish	Mischief Under
LGBTQ	Mischief Under
LGBTQ	Theft Under
LGBTQ - Male	Fail to Comply Probation Order



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Appendix D – 2016 Breakdown by Victim Group and Offence

Bias Type	Victim Group		Type of Offence	
Ethnicity (ET)	Arab	1	Assault	1
	Asian	1	Assault	1
	East Indian	2	Mischief Under	2
	Middle Eastern	2	Assault	1
			Mischief Under	1
	Pakistani	1	Assault	1
	Total Occurrences: 7			
Multi Bias (MU)	Black - Hispanic	1	Mischief Under	1
	Black - Jewish	2	Mischief Under	2
	Black - Jewish - LGBTQ	1	Wilful Promotion of Hatred	1
	Black - Russia - Indian - Mexico	1	Mischief Under	1
	Jewish - Hindu	1	Criminal Harassment	1
	Jewish - Black	1	Criminal Harassment	1
	Jewish - Indian	1	Mischief Under	1
	Jewish - Japanese	1	Mischief Under	1
	LGBTQ - Asian	1	Mischief Under	1
	LGBTQ - Black	1	Criminal Harassment	1
	LGBTQ - Black - Jewish	1	Mischief Interfere with Property	1
	LGBTQ - Black - Mexican	1	Mischief Under	1
	Muslim - Palestine	1	Mischief Under	1
	Total Occurrences: 14			
Nationality (NA)	Chinese	1	Assault	1
	Czech	1	Assault	1
	German	1	Assault	1
	Pakistani	2	Assault	1
			Mischief Under	1
	Roma	1	Possession of a Weapon	1
	Syria	1	Criminal Harassment	1
	Turkish	1	Criminal Harassment	1
Total Occurrences: 8				



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Bias Type	Victim Group		Type of Offence	
Race (RA)	Black	22	Assault	5
			Assault with a Weapon	2
			Criminal Harassment	2
			Mischief Interfere with Property	1
			Mischief Under	11
	Brown	2	Assault	2
	White	2	Mischief Under	2
Total Occurrences: 26				
Religion (RE)	Jewish	43	Assault	1
			Assault with a Weapon	1
			Criminal Harassment	1
			Mischief Interfere with Property	3
			Mischief Under	34
			Threatening Bodily Harm	1
			Threatening Death	1
	Wilful Promotion of Hatred	1		
	Muslim	22	Assault	5
			Criminal Harassment	4
			Mischief Interfere with Property	2
			Mischief Under	3
			Threatening Bodily Harm	2
			Threatening Death	5
Sikh	1	Assault	1	
Total Occurrences: 66				
Sex	Trans - Female	4	Assault	2
			Assault with a Weapon	1
			Threatening Bodily Harm	1
	Trans - Male	2	Assault	1
			Assault with a Weapon	1
Total Occurrences: 6				



Toronto Police Service – Hate Crime Unit
Annual Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report
2016



Bias Type	Victim Group		Type of Offence	
Sexual Orientation (SO)	LGBTQ - Female	4	Assault	1
			Break & Enter	2
			Mischief Under	1
	LGBTQ - Male	10	Assault	2
			Assault Causing Bodily Harm	2
			Assault with a Weapon	1
			Criminal Harassment	3
			Mischief Under	1
	LGBTQ	4	Fail to Comply Probation Order	1
			Mischief Under	2
			Theft Under	1
				Wilful Promotion of Hatred
Total Occurrences: 18				